

**KITTITAS COUNTY  
BOARD OF EQUALIZATION**  
411 N Ruby St, Ste 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926  
(509) 962-7506

---

**ORDER OF THE KITTITAS COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION**

Property Owner(s): William & Charmaine Apperson  
Mailing Address: 23311 194<sup>th</sup> Ave SE  
Renton, WA 98058  
Tax Parcel No(s): 588435  
Assessment Year: 2023 (Taxes Payable in 2024)  
Petition Number: BE-23-0052

Having considered the evidence presented by the parties in this appeal, the Board hereby:  
**Sustained**  
the determination of the Assessor.

Assessor's Determination

Assessor's Land: \$468,000  
Assessor's Improvement: \$665,290  
TOTAL: \$1,133,290


Board of Equalization (BOE) Determination

BOE Land: \$468,000  
BOE Improvement: \$665,290  
TOTAL: \$1,133,290

**Those in attendance at the hearing and findings:**

See attached Recommendation and Proposed Decision of the Hearing Examiner. The Board of Equalization motioned to uphold the Assessor's Office assessed value. Motion carried.

Hearing Held On : December 5, 2023  
Decision Entered On: January 11, 2024  
Hearing Examiner: Ann Shaw      Date Mailed: 1/14/24

  
Chairperson (of Authorized Designee)

  
Clerk of the Board of Equalization

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a Notice of Appeal with them at PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915, within THIRTY days of the date of mailing on this Order (RCW 84.08.130). The Notice of Appeal form is available from the Washington State Board of Tax Appeals or the Kittitas County Board of Equalization Clerk.

**KITTITAS COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION- PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION**

Appellants: William & Charmaine Apperson

Petition: BE-23-0052

Parcel: 588435

Address: 101 Innsbruck Dr

Hearing: December 5, 2023 11:26 A.M.

Present at hearing: William & Charmaine Apperson, petitioners (via telephone); Dana Glenn, Appraiser; Jessica Miller, BOE Clerk; Ann Shaw, Hearing Examiner

Testimony given: William & Charmaine Apperson, Dana Glenn, Appraiser

Assessor's determination:

Land: \$468,000

Improvements: \$665,290

Total: \$1,133,290

Taxpayer's estimate:

Land: \$260,000

Improvements: \$598,220

Total: \$858,220

**SUMMATION OF EVIDENCE PRESENTED AND FINDING OF FACT:**

This is a .17 acre parcel with a 1,471 Square Foot residence in Hyak Estates in Snoqualmie Pass. The petitioner stated they are not concerned with the improvement value after researching the market. Their concern is mainly with the land value.

The petitioner stated that there is an easement that goes along the property that has loud and disruptive motor bikes, atvs, and such that go by fairly regularly.

The assessor went through their model and documentation and discussed how the model is performing well in the area.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

"Upon review by any court, or appellate body, of a determination of the valuation of property for purposes of taxation, it shall be presumed that the determination of the public official charged with the duty of establishing such value is correct, but this presumption shall not be a defense against any correction indicated by clear, cogent and convincing evidence." RCW 81.40.0301

In other words, the assessor's determination of property value shall be presumed correct. The petitioner can overcome this presumption that the assessor's value is correct only by presenting clear, cogent and convincing evidence otherwise.

"All real property in this state subject to taxation shall be listed and assessed every year, with reference to its value on the first day of January of the year in which it is assessed..."

RCW 84.40.020

"The true and fair value of real property for taxation purposes...must be based upon the following criteria:

- (a) Any sales of the property being appraised or similar properties with respect to sales made within the past five years...
- (b) In addition to sales as defined in subsection (3)(a) of this section, consideration may be given to cost, cost less depreciation, reconstruction cost less depreciation, or capitalization of income that would be derived from prudent use of the property, as limited by law or ordinance..."

RCW 84.40.030(3)

"(1) In making its decision with respect to the value of property, the board shall use the criteria set forth in RCW 84.40.030.

(2) Parties may submit and boards may consider any sales of the subject property or similar properties which occurred prior to the hearing date so long as the requirements of RCW 84.40.030, 84.48.150, and WAC 458-14-066 are complied with. Only sales made within five years of the date of the petition shall be considered.

(3) Any sale of property prior to or after January 1<sup>st</sup> of the year of revaluation shall be adjusted to its value as of January 1 of the year of evaluation, reflecting market activity and using generally accepted appraisal methods...

(4) More weight shall be given to similar sales occurring closest to the assessment date which require the fewest adjustments for characteristics."

WAC 458-14-087

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Hearing Examiner has determined that the appellant has succeeded in meeting the burden of proof to overturn the Assessed Value of the property with clear, cogent and convincing evidence.


The easement is certainly a concern for the value of this land. The land sales that were provided did not have easements. I recommend going with the lowest sales price for the comparable land sales that sold in the neighborhood.

Every finding of fact this is a conclusion of law shall be deemed as such. Every conclusion of law that contains a finding of fact shall be deemed as a finding of fact.

**PROPOSED DECISION:**

The Examiner proposes that the Kittitas County Board of Equalization reduce the land value to \$378,303 and sustain the improvement value at \$665,290 for a total value of \$1,043,593.

DATED 1/11/24

  
Ann Shaw, Hearing Examiner